



Legrand Home Systems
301 Fulling Mill Road, Suite G
Middletown, PA 17057
717.564.5404
Fax: 717.702.2546
www.legrand.us

September 23, 2013

The Honorable John R. Gordner, Chairman
Senate Committee on Labor & Industry
Senate Box 203027
Harrisburg, PA 17120-3027

**Testimony of Legrand North America
Before the Pennsylvania Senate Committee on Labor and Industry**

**Re: SB 1023 – Legislation Amending the Act of November 10, 1999 (P.L. 491, No. 45),
Known as the Pennsylvania Construction Code Act**

POSITION: Support Improvement to RAC Process

On behalf of Legrand North America, I appreciate the opportunity to provide testimony on Pennsylvania Senate Bill 1023, *Legislation Amending the Act of November 10, 1999 (P.L. 491, No. 45), Known as the Pennsylvania Construction Code Act*. Legrand North America's member companies include a Home Systems business unit headquarters located in Middletown, Pennsylvania where it provides over sixty-two jobs and 2012 Pennsylvania gross sales of \$1,551,479.69. This Home Systems business unit under its On-Q product brand manufactures residential technology solutions which are sold and installed through a network of retailers, distributors, dealers and builders. The origins of this Pennsylvania business date back to 1999 when a management buyout occurred within AMP/Tyco and then later acquired by Legrand North America in 2005.

These comments reflect the view of Legrand North America member companies that manufacture and supply electrical equipment that must comply with the Pennsylvania Uniform Construction Code.

Legrand North America recognizes that thoughtful improvements to the Pennsylvania Uniform Construction Code Review & Advisory Council need to be made in order to ensure that the UCC is updated in a timely, transparent, and more predictable manner.

In 2012, the RAC attempted to review all 900 changes in accordance with the UCC's "opt-in" process—each proposed code change must be adopted by a 2/3 majority of the RAC. Legrand North America is concerned that the RAC does not have the time, expertise or resources to perform this enormous task. As a result, the RAC simply voted to reject all code updates.

Legrand North America believes that the "opt-in" model in SB 1023 would significantly improve processes at the RAC. With the "opt-in" model, new code provisions would go into effect unless specifically excluded by the RAC. This would promote a more streamlined, timely, transparent, and predictable code review and adoption process for all stakeholders involved, and allow the RAC to focus only on controversial code provisions.

In addition, Legrand North America firmly believes that maintaining a three-year review and adoption period that coincides with the national model code publishing cycle is the best way to ensure an even standard of safety for all occupants in the built environment. A three year cycle ensures that the codes incorporate the latest advancements in safety technology as well as innovations in scientific and engineering principles.

I. Building Codes Put Safety First, Protect Consumers

Model building codes are designed to save lives and protect property. They allow for economies of scale in the production of building materials and construction, as well as a level of safety for first responders during and after fires and other disaster events.

The codes are developed by code officials and building industry professionals through a rigorous consensus-based process. The standards-setting organizations update their model codes every three years to reflect innovations in materials, technology and experience from building failures. It is critical that Pennsylvania evaluate and adopt new codes to reap these benefits for its citizens.

For example, the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) estimates that electrical safety devices such as the Arc-Fault Circuit Interrupters (AFCI) could prevent more than 50% of the electrical fires that occur every year. The CPSC also estimates that two-thirds of electrocutions occurring each year could be prevented by installing electrical safety products such as Ground-Fault Circuit Interrupters (GFCI) in every home in the United States. Maintaining timely adoption of the code ensures that all Pennsylvania residents are afforded the same protections provided by these and other life-saving devices.

II. Building Codes Protect Property and Reduce Disaster Recovery Costs

Building codes are also designed to protect property from fires as well as major storms and natural disasters. The codes reflect constant changes and innovations in disaster-resistant construction materials and designs – making building structures more resilient to these damaging weather events.

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), when states stay current on code adoption, homeowners and business-owners are better equipped to reduce damages and loss to their property in the event of an extreme weather event. This means that Pennsylvania will spend less on disaster recovery.

For your reference, Pennsylvania received over \$12 billion for Hurricane Sandy relief in 2012, and a quarter of a billion dollars in federal disaster aid to recover from Tropical Storm Lee and Hurricane Irene in 2011. According to testimony by FEMA, every dollar spent on mitigation saves four dollars in recovery costs. It is just not a fiscally responsible use of taxpayer funds to pay for disaster aid, but not to maintain up to date building codes.

III. Building Energy Codes Promote Costs Savings to Consumers

Pennsylvania consumers can save money on their energy bills through state adoption of the most current model building energy code (International Energy Conservation Code or IECC) and standard (ASHRAE 90.1). Homes and businesses constructed with the most current energy code and standard save significant dollars on energy usage. For businesses, this translates into more capital available to invest directly into the economy, hiring more employees, and even expanding business operations.

To give you an idea, buildings constructed to the 2012 IECC are approximately 15% more efficient than ones constructed to the 2009 edition, and 30% more efficient than the 2006 edition. Thus, maintaining current adoption of the latest energy code is a win for consumers, a win for business, and a win for the state economy.

IV. Legrand North American Support Improvements to Pennsylvania Construction Code Review Process

In conclusion, Legrand North America recognizes that the building code review process in Pennsylvania has been inconsistent in the past. This inconsistency has led to confusion and uncertainty among the industry. We believe that Pennsylvania should strengthen its law to make construction code review and adoption more predictable, transparent, and timely in accordance with the publication of the most current model codes. Our Industry stands ready to lend our expertise in this area and work with members of the legislature and the RAC now and in the future.

Very truly yours,



Mr. Fritz Werder
VP & General Manager, Legrand Home Systems